

Auburn, Cole Harbour Family of Schools – School Options Committee Meeting Notes

Feb 27, 2017; 6:30 pm – 9:00 pm  
 Cole Harbour Cafeteria

**Purpose**

- To receive information from HRSB staff re: scenario development
- To convene small groups to advance work on Communications, timelines and decision making

**Notes**

Agenda Item and Discussion	Actions
<p><b>Overview of agenda and purpose:</b> LaMeia verbally presented the agenda.                      Attendance Sheet circulated.</p>	
<p><b>Minutes of January 23, 30 and Feb 6 2017:</b></p> <p>There was no quorum on Feb. 27<sup>th</sup>, so no minutes were kept. Informal notes were shared by email.</p>	<p>The issue of guidelines for minutes was referred to the <b>Communications Committee</b> for development.</p>
<p><b>Scenario Development:</b>                      HRSB staff members Isabel Obeid, Richard Derible and Tim Brown were present to provide information and take questions related to the high school scenarios under discussion by the SOC. Currently those scenarios include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Campus model (one student body and two school buildings)</li> <li>• Status Quo</li> <li>• One school</li> </ul> <p>Conversations included an overview of high school programming including curriculum/content, policy directions, teacher training requirements, scheduling considerations, student choice in course selection, credit requirements, operational considerations and other influencers.</p> <p>The overall complexity of high school programming was noted. Time was spent particularly discussing, IB, AP, French Immersion and Skilled Trades.</p> <p>Time did not allow for all questions to be answered at the meeting. Outstanding questions were gathered in and will be compiled and sent to the HRSB for their attention and response.</p>	<p><b>Facilitators</b> to forward SOC questions to HRSB staff for response by / for the next meeting.</p> <p><b>HRSB staff</b> to prepare and share responses by Friday if possible.</p>

<p>Several resources are available to SOC members that answer can help with understanding were noted.</p>	
<p><b>Small group work:</b></p> <p>Three groups met for about 25 minutes.</p> <p><b>Communications:</b> This group reported back to the overall SOC that it would be framing up some messages for the public, will be recommending two more public meetings (as opposed to the one currently on the timeline), suggested the SOC be sent advance notice of votes that might be coming up in meetings, that some guidance for minutes development would be forthcoming, and that the SOC will be provided with a process for responding to ad hoc public inquiries.</p> <p>(Note: There was not enough time for the remaining small groups to report into the full group.</p> <p>The <b>Timelines</b> shared an email on March 1<sup>st</sup> updating on some of its work and directing members to various links.</p> <p>The <b>Decision Making matrix group</b> also advise through these minutes that it will be bringing updated work to the full group next week for its information.)</p>	<p>Guidance for minutes and a process for responding to ad hoc public inquires to be forthcoming from <b>Communications Group</b>.</p> <p><b>Timelines group and Decision making matrix group</b> to report as needed at the next meeting.</p>
<p><b>Next Agenda: to include:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Further discussion on high school options with HRSB staff.</li> <li>- Report backs from Small Groups.</li> <li>Other items TBD</li> </ul>	
<p>Adjournment: AT 9 PM by consensus.</p>	